η³-Vinylborane Complexes of Platinum and Nickel: Borataallyl- and Alkyl/Borataalkene-Like Coordination Modes**

Kristopher B. Kolpin and David J. H. Emslie*

Complexes of carbon-based π -ligands have occupied a central position in *d*- and *f*-element organometallic chemistry since the remarkably early synthesis of Zeise's salt, K[PtCl₃(C₂H₄)]·H₂O, in 1827.^[1] By contrast, boron/carbon-based π -ligand complexes have been developed only in the past 50 years. Formally monoanionic boratabenzene^[2] and dianionic borole ligands,^[2] isoelectronic with arene and cyclopentadienyl ligands (Figure 1), are now prominent in this area.^[3] However, boron-analogues of acyclic π -ligands are rare. For example, mononuclear η^2 -borataalkene complexes (Figure 1) are limited to Piers' [Cp₂TaL_x{CH₂B(C₆F₅)₂}] (L = CN⁴Bu and CO; x = 0 or 1) (III in Figure 2), and η^3 -borataallyl complexes (Figure 1)^[4] are limited to Shapiro's [PdCl₂{ η^3 -PhB(CHPPh₃)₂}] and [ZrCl₄{ η^3 -PhB(CHPPh₃)₂}] (I-II in Figure 2).^[5] The PhB(CHPPh₃)₂] ligand may be viewed as a borane-bridged diylide or a zwitterionic 1,3-di-phosphonium-substituted 2-borataallyl ligand.



Figure 1. Structural relationships between common hydrocarbon π -ligands and their boron analogues. The inset shows 4 possible bonding descriptions for an η^3 -coordinated vinylborane, with formal charges on the ligand in square brackets.^[4]

Complexes of η^3 -coordinated R_2CCRBR_2 ligands or simple hydrocarbyl substituted R_2CBRCR_2 ligands are therefore unknown,

[*]	K. B. Kolpin, Prof. D. J. H. Emslie
	Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology
	McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West
	Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4M1, Canada
	Fax: (+ 1) 905-522-2509
	E-mail: emslied@mcmaster.ca
	Homepage: http://www.chemistry.mcmaster.ca/faculty/emslie/

[**] D.J.H.E. thanks NSERC of Canada for a Discovery Grant, the Ontario Ministry of Resarch and Innovation for an Early Researcher Award, and CFI and OIT for New Opportunities Grants. We are also very grateful to I. Vargas-Baca for help with DFT calculations, and J. F. Britten and H. A. Jenkins for help with X-ray crystallography.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

but are of particular interest given the generally enhanced reactivity of acyclic π -ligands relative to cyclic π -ligands (*e.g.* allyl⁻ vs C₅H₅⁻). Metal allyl complexes may be prepared by reaction of a suitable metal precursor with a source of an allyl anion or cation. Free vinylboranes are conceptually analogous to an allyl cation, and we describe herein reactions of *E*-PhHC=CH–B(C₆F₅)₂ (VB^{Ph})^[6] with low valent platinum and nickel precursors to yield $\eta^3 BCC$ coordinated vinylborane complexes. Several possible bonding descriptions for an η^3 -vinylborane ligand are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 2. Selected complexes featuring M–BR₃ interactions. I and II are η^3 -coordinated borane-bridged diylide complexes.^[5] III is an η^2 -borataalkene complex. IV and V are $\eta^3 BCC$ -coordinated triarylborane complexes.^[7] VI is a metallocenylborane complex.^[8] VII and VIII are platinum η^1 -borane complexes.^[11-13]

Reaction of *E*-PhHC=CH–B(C₆F₅)₂ (VB^{Ph})^[6] with [Pt(nb)₃] (nb = norbornylene), followed by addition of P'Bu₃ provided dark red [('Bu₃P)Pt{ η^3BCC -VB^{Ph}}] (1) in 68 % yield (Scheme 1). X-ray quality crystals of **1** (Figure 3) containing two independent molecules (A and B) in the unit cell were obtained from hexane at -30 °C.^[9] In both A and B, the VB^{Ph} ligand is η^3BCC -coordinated with P'Bu₃ positioned roughly *trans* to the VB^{Ph} ligand centroid. The B–C(1) distances of 1.519(7) and 1.517(6) Å in **1** are shorter than those in free vinylboranes such as (Mes)₂BCH=CHPh, {(F₅C₆)₂B}₂C=CHPh and B{C(SiMe₃)=CHMe)₃ [1.54 - 1.57 Å], while the C(1)–C(2) distances of 1.383(6) and 1.403(6) Å are slightly longer (*cf.* 1.33 - 1.365 Å in the vinylboranes above).^[10]

In molecule A, the Pt–B distance is 2.273(5) Å, Pt–C(1) is 2.130(4) Å, and Pt–C(2) is 2.155(4) Å, indicative of strong η^3 binding. In molecule B, the C₆F₅ rings lie at different angles relative to the BCC-core, leading to slightly different Pt–B, Pt–C(1), and Pt– C(2) distances [2.319(5), 2.126(4) and 2.137(4) Å, respectively]. Similar M–B and M–C distances were observed for I and IV (M = Pd and Ni) in Figure 2, and the M–C distances in 1 are comparable with those in [Pd(η^3 -C₃H₄Me)₂],^[11] [('Bu₃P)PtCl(η^3 -C₃H₅)]^[12] and [(dmpe)Pt(η^3 -C₃H₃Ph₂-1,3)][PF₆]^[13] (2.13 - 2.23 Å). The M–B distance in 1 is also comparable with those in η^1 -borane complexes such as **VIII** [2.296(2) Å;]^[14] in Figure 2 and is far shorter than those in metallocenylboranes (e.g. VI).^[8] However, whereas boron is strongly pyramidalized in tightly bound η^1 -borane complexes, it is only modestly pyramidalized in 1 [Σ (C-B-C) = 353 and 357°].





Figure 3. X-ray structure of **1** with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Only molecule A (one of two independent molecules in the unit cell) is shown. Most hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity.

The η^3 -coordination mode in 1 contrasts the η^2 -alkene coordination mode in previously reported [(CO)₄Fe{H₂C=CH–BR(NMe₂)}] (R = Br and Me)^[15] and [Cp₂Ti(ArH=CH–BCat)] (Ar = Ph and C₆H₄OMe-*p*; Cat = O₂C₆H₄ or O₂C₆H₃'Bu-4),^[16] likely due to much greater borane Lewis acidity in 1. Related [(CO)₃Fe(H₂C=CH–BR–NMe₂)] (R = Br, Me and 'Bu)^[15] and [(CO)₄Cr-{'BuHC=CH–BH–N(SiMe₃)₂]]^[17] complexes are also known, but in both cases the vinylborane is η^4 -coordinated and acts as a 4-electron donor; the former is a boron-nitrogen analogue of butadiene, while the latter binds via alkene and σ -borane interactions.

For molecules A and B in the unit cell of **1**, all *exo* substituents on the B–C–C core [C(3) and H(2)] are bent away from the metal, while the *endo* [C(9) and C(15)] and central [H(1)] substituents bend towards the metal.^[22] Similar distortions were observed in [PdCl₂{ η^3 -PhB(CHPPh₃)₂}],^[5] and are typical of late transition metal allyl complexes.^[18] These results highlight striking similarities between the VB^{Ph} ligand in **1** and π -allyl ligands.

The ¹¹B NMR spectrum shows a single broad peak at 15.6 ppm; far upfield of free VB^{Ph} (58.3 ppm),^[6] and significantly upfield of **I**, **IV** (Pd and Ni) and **VIII** in Table 1 (35-18 ppm). In addition, ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites are observed for the BC*HCH*Ph atoms (¹ $J_{13C,195Pt}$ = 196 Hz; ² $J_{1H,195Pt}$ = 47 and 80 Hz) in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1**. These data are consistent with tight $\eta^3 BCC$ -coordination of VB^{Ph}.

To examine the generality of the $\eta^3 BCC$ -bonding mode for vinylborane ligands, and the extent to which M–B and M–C bond

distances are sensitive to changes in the central metal and phosphine co-ligands, $[(Ph_3P)_2Pt{\eta^3BCC-VB^{Ph}}]$ (2) and $[(Ph_3P)_2Ni{\eta^3BCC-VB^{Ph}}]$ (3), were prepared as shown in Scheme 1.^[24] Significant ${}^{1}J_{13C,195Pt}$ (171 Hz), ${}^{2}J_{1H,195Pt}$ (40 and 49 Hz) and ${}^{2}J_{13C,31P}$ (30 and 16 Hz) couplings for the BCHCHPh atoms are indicative of strong metal–carbon interactions in 2 and 3. However, the ${}^{11}B$ NMR signals for 2 and 3 (25 and 34 ppm, respectively) are shifted to higher frequency of complex 1. By ${}^{31}P$ NMR, complexes 2 and 3 exhibit hindered rotation of VB^{Ph} around the metal-ligand axis. Substantial barriers to acyclic π -ligand rotation are also encountered in 16-electron allyl complexes such as $[(Ph_3P)CIPt(\eta^3-C_3H_5)]$,^[19] and in a broad range of late transition metal alkene complexes.^[20]



Figure 4. X-ray structure of **3** with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Most hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity.

Crystals of **3** were obtained from a benzene/hexane mixture at -30 °C (Figure 4).^[9] Key differences in the structure of **3** relative to **1** are: [1] a longer M–B distance of 2.660(3) Å, [2] shorter M– C(1) and M–C(2) distances of 2.025(2) and 2.032(2) Å, [3] a shorter B(1)–C(1) bond of 1.483(4) Å (*vide infra*), [4] a somewhat longer C(1)–C(2) distance of 1.431(3) Å, [5] less pronounced distortions of the *exo*-H, *exo*-C₆F₅, *endo*-C₆F₅ and central-H substituents on the η^3 -coordinated core of **3**, and [6] distortion of the *endo*-Ph substituent away from the metal, rather than towards it in **1**.^[21]

Returning to point 3 above, the B–C distance in **3** is in fact more similar to that found in free $R_2B=CR_2^-$ or $R_2B=C=BR_2^{2-}$ anions (1.39-1.51 Å)^[22] than in vinylboranes (*vide infra*), and is also shorter than those in Piers' tantalum borataalkene complexes (1.51-1.53 Å).^[23] These data, and distortion of both substituents on C(2) away from the metal, are indicative of more alkyl/borataalkene-like coordination (see inset in Figure 1). A range of η^3 -coordination modes have also been reported for azaallyl complexes, with more single C–N and double C=C bond character in some cases.^[24]

DFT calculations (ADF 2008.01, TZ2P, VWN, PW91, ZORA) were carried out to further probe the nature of metal-ligand bonding in H₂C=CH–BH₂, VB^{Ph}, **1**, and **3**. All geometries were fully optimized, and those of **1** and **3** match the solid state structures, including shortening of the B–C(1) bond in **3** by 0.035 Å relative to that in **1**. Metal-boron distances were however over-estimated by 3 % in **1** and 9 % in **3**, although this is not expected to alter significantly the overall analysis. Bonding in **1** and **3** was then investigated using a fragment approach that considered the interaction of an uncharged ('Bu₃P)Pt or (Ph₃P)₂Ni fragment with the neutral VB^{Ph} ligand (all fragments were generated from the geometry optimized structures of **1** and **3**).

In free H₂C=CH–BH₂, the HOMO is fully bonding across the BCC unit, and is analogous to the HOMO of an allyl cation. The LUMO+1 is also analogous to that of an allyl cation, with nodes between B and C(1), and C(1) and C(2). However, due to the lower electronegativity of boron relative to carbon, *the vinylborane LUMO*

is bonding in character between *B* and *C*(1), and antibonding in character between *C*(1) and *C*(2). Analogous MOs were observed for VB^{Ph} (free and in **1** and **3**), although in this case several lower lying orbitals also exhibit π -bonding character within the BCC core.



Figure 5. Key MOs involved in metal–VB^{Ph} bonding in 1 and 3. Insets show SCF deformation density isosurfaces (SCF electron density for the molecule minus the sum of the SCF electron density for the two fragments; blue is increased and pink is depleted electron density).

In complex 1 (Figure 5), the LUMO receives major contributions from the VB^{Ph} LUMO and both filled and empty ('Bu₃P)Pt orbitals of Pt 5*d*- or 6*p*-character. Similarly, the HOMO-4 in 1 involves the VB^{Ph} HOMO and both filled and empty ('Bu₃P)Pt orbitals of Pt 5*d*- or 6*s*/6*p*-character. The HOMO-7, HOMO-8 and HOMO-10 also play an important role in Pt–VB^{Ph} bonding and are shown in Figure 5. Overall, the VB^{Ph} ligand in 1 functions as both a donor and an acceptor, with acceptor interactions dominating as evidenced by a value of -0.255 for the VB^{Ph} fragment by Hirshfeld charge analysis. Regions of depleted or increased electron density arising from combination of the ('Bu₃P)Pt and VB^{Ph} fragments are also illustrated in the SCF deformation density isosurface in Figure 5.

The VB^{Ph} ligand in **3** also functions as both donor and acceptor (Figure 5). However, the frontier metal orbitals of $(Ph_3P)_2Ni$ are higher in energy than those of $('Bu_3P)Pt$,^[25] leading to a value of -0.404 for the VB^{Ph} fragment in the Hirshfeld charge analysis. Large contributions from the LUMO of the VB^{Ph} ligand to both the HOMO-3 and HOMO-4 of **3** are also observed, and more effective backdonation into the VB^{Ph} LUMO is consistent with the shorter B-C(1) distance observed experimentally and by DFT for **3**.

In summary, the first η^3 -coordinated vinylborane complexes have been prepared, and adopt unique 1-borataallyl- or alkyl/borataalkene-like coordination modes. Future studies will explore the reactivity of these complexes with a focus on the availability of boron to interact with external substrates. Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online on ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Keywords: Boranes · Isoelectronic analogues · Lewis acids · Pi ligands · Transition metals

- [1] C. Elschenbroich, *Organometallics*, 3rd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2006**.
- [2] a) G. E. Herberich, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, Vol. 1 (Eds: E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone, G. Wilkinson), Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1995**, pp. 197-216; b) R. N. Grimes, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III*, Vol. 3 (Eds: R. H. Crabtree, D. M. P. Mingos, C. E. Housecroft), Elsevier Ltd., Oxford, **2007**, pp. 1-48.
- [3] Carborane ligands are also prominent in this area. See for example: A. K. Saxena, N. S. Hosmane, *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 1081-1124.
- [4] The term 'vinylborane' is suitable to describe both the free and coordinated R₂CCRBR₂ *ligand*, regardless of hapticity. Given the alkene and metallacyclopropane extremes for alkene bonding, a charge ranging from 0 and -2 can also be assigned upon coordination. The VB^{ph} coordination modes in 1 and 3 are however described as 1-borataallyl- and alkyl/borataalkene-like to highlight their relationship to alkene, alkyl and π-allyl bonding. Specifically, the term 'borataallyl' is used to refer to a C₂BR₅ ligand when η³-coordinated via a delocalized π-system. It should not be confused with 'borallyl' which refers to the B₃H₇ ligand: a) C. E. Housecroft, T. P. Fehlner, *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 1739-1743; b) J. Bould, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, W. S. McDonald, *Dalton Trans.* 1985, 1843-1847.
- [5] F. Jiang, P. J. Shapiro, F. Fahs, B. Twamley, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 2755-2757; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2003, 42, 2651-2653.
- [6] D. J. Parks, W. E. Piers, G. P. A. Yap, Organometallics 1998, 17, 5492-5503.
- [7] a) D. J. H. Emslie, L. E. Harrington, H. A. Jenkins, C. M. Robertson, J. F. Britten, *Organometallics* 2008, 27, 5317-5325; b) S. R. Oakley, K. D. Parker, D. J. H. Emslie, I. Vargas-Baca, C. M. Robertson, L. E. Harrington, J. F. Britten, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 5835-5837; c) M. Sircoglou, S. Bontemps, M. Mercy, K. Miqueu, S. Ladeira, N. Saffon, L. Maron, G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, ASAP.
- [8] a) A. Appel, H. Noth, M. Schmidt, *Chem. Ber.* 1995, *128*, 621-626; b)
 M. Scheibitz *et al.*, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 584-603.
- [9] Crystal data for 1: $C_{32}H_{34}BF_{10}PPt$, $M_r = 845.47$, 0.43 x 0.22 x 0.15 mm, triclinic, space group P-1, a = 10.4467(7), b = 16.4391(11), c =19.1813(14) Å, $\alpha = 99.1070(10), \beta = 97.1550(10), \gamma = 101.7510(10),$ V = 3142.2(4) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.787$ Mgm⁻³, $\mu(Mo_{K\alpha}) = 0.71073$ mm⁻¹, T = 100(2) K, $2\theta_{max} = 57^{\circ}$, 42232 reflections, 15473 indep. (R_{int} = 0.0530), R_1 =0.0350 & wR_2 =0.0641 [I>2 σ (I)], max. res. density peaks: 1.13 to $-1.28 \text{ e}\text{Å}^{-3}$. Crystal data for 3: C₅₆H₃₇BF₁₀P₂Ni, $M_r =$ 1031.34, 0.58 x 0.32 x 0.30 mm, triclinic, space group P-1, a = 10.1954(9), b = 13.3921(11), c = 17.7377(15) Å, $\alpha = 96.319(2)$, $\beta =$ 103.476(2), $\gamma = 98.237(2)$, V = 2304.9(3) Å³, Z = 2, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.486$ Mgm⁻³, μ (Mo_{K α}) = 0.71073 mm⁻¹, T = 100(2) K, 2 θ max = 53°, 22713 reflections, 9340 indep. (R_{int} =0.0301), R₁=0.0351 & wR₂=0.0786 $[I>2\sigma(I)]$, max. res. density peaks: 0.560 to -0.356 eÅ⁻³. Structures refined with Patterson methods. VBPh and PPh3 H atoms located and refined isotropically with thermal parameters set to 1.2 x Cattached. H atoms in $P^\prime Bu_3$ placed at calculated positions on C_{attached} but with methyl group rotation refined to match highest points of electron density. CCDC 757289 (1) and 757290 (3) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [10] a) Z. Yuan et al., Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2758-2771; b) K. Kohler et al., Organometallics 1998, 17, 3557-3566; c) N. S. Hosmane, N. N. Sirmokadam, M. D. Walkinshaw, E. A. V. Ebsworth, J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 270, 1-7.
- [11] J. E. Gozum, D. M. Pollina, J. A. Jensen, G. S. Girolami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2688-2689.
- [12] G. Carturan, U. Belluco, A. Delpra, G. Zanotti, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1979, 33, 155-160.
- [13] L. Hintermann, F. Läng, P. Maire, A. Togni, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 1397-1412.
- [14] S. Bontemps, G. Bouhadir, W. Gu, M. Mercy, C.-H. Chen, B. M. Foxman, L. Maron, O. V. Ozerov, D. Bourissou, *Angew. Chem.* 2008, *120*, 1503-1506; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2008, *47*, 1481-1484.

- [15] G. Schmid, F. Alraun, R. Boese, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2255-2258.
- [16] J. F. Hartwig, C. N. Muhoro, Organometallics 2000, 19, 30-38.
- [17] H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, T. Herbst, K. Radacki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2008, 47, 5978-5980.
- [18] R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, 2001.
- [19] a) F. R. Hartley, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Vol. 6 (Eds: G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone, E. W. Abel), Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1982**, pp. 471-762; b) B. M. Trost, D. L. Van Vranken, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 395-422; c) G. Carturan, A. Scrivanti, B. Longato, F. Morandini, J. Organomet. Chem. **1979**, *172*, 91-97.
- [20] a) D. M. P. Mingos, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Vol. 3 (Eds: G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone, E. W. Abel), Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1982**, pp. 1-88; b) B. E. Mann, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Vol. 3 (Eds: G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone, E. W. Abel), Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1982**, pp. 89-171.
- [21] For molecule A in the structure of 1, the *exo*-H, *exo*-C₆F₅, *endo*-Ph, *endo*-C₆F₅ and central-H substituents are distorted from the BCC-plane by 24.1°, 43.7°, -11.6° , -16.1° and -20.8° , respectively. For molecule B, these same distortions are 25.6°, 31.9°, -10.5° , -15.0° and -13.4° , and for **3** they are 9.0°, 17.2°, 11.2°, -5.2° and -1.0° .
- [22] a) C.-W. Chiu, F. P. Gabbaï, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7002-7005;
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 6878-6881; b) J. D.

Hoefelmeyer, S. Solé, F. P. Gabbaï, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 1254-1258;
c) Y. Sahin, M. Hartmann, G. Geiseler, D. Schweikart, C. Balzereit, G. Frenking, W. Massa, A. Berndt, *Angew. Chem.* 2001, *113*, 2725-2728; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2001, *40*, 2662-2665; d) M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, K. J. Weese, R. J. Doedens, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, *109*, 2541-2542; e) M. Pilz, J. Allwohn, P. Willershausen, W. Massa, A. Berndt, *Angew. Chem.* 1990, *102*, 1084-1085; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1990, *29*, 1030-1032; f) M. Pilz, J. Allwohn, R. Hunold, W. Massa, A. Berndt, *Angew. Chem.* 1988, *100*, 1421-1422; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988, *27*, 1370-1372; g) Y. Sahin *et al.*, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2003, 680, 244-256.

- [23] a) K. S. Cook, W. E. Piers, S. J. Rettig, Organometallics 1999, 18, 1575-1577; b) K. S. Cook, W. E. Piers, T. K. Woo, R. McDonald, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3927-3937; c) K. S. Cook, W. E. Piers, R. McDonald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5411-5418; d) K. S. Cook, W. E. Piers, P. G. Hayes, M. Parvez, Organometallics 2002, 21, 2422-2425.
- [24] a) C. F. Caro, M. F. Lappert, P. G. Merle, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2001, 219, 605-663; b) R. J. Wright, P. P. Power, B. L. Scott, J. L. Kiplinger, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 4801-4803.
- [25] T. A. Atesin, S. S. Oster, K. Skugrud, W. D. Jones, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2006, 359, 2798-2805.

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 2:

Anyone for Pie? It's Acyclic Borocarbon.

Kristopher B. Kolpin and David J. H. Emslie* _____ Page – Page

η³-Vinylborane Complexes of Platinum and Nickel: Borataallyland Alkyl/Borataalkene-Like Coordination Modes



The vinylborane PhHC=CH–B(C₆F₅)₂ reacts with zero-valent Group 10 transition metal precursors to form η^3 -vinylborane complexes. The platinum tri-*tert*-butylphosphine complex exhibits an η^3 -borataallyl-like coordination mode whereas the nickel bis-triphenylphosphine complex tends towards alkyl/borataalkene coordination.